Charter proposal: Professionalising Data Stewardship Interest Group

1. Name of Proposed Interest Group

Professionalising Data Stewardship Interest Group

2. Introduction

A brief articulation of what issues the Interest Group will address, how this Interest Group is aligned with the RDA mission, and how this Interest Group would be a value-added contribution to the RDA community.

Data stewardship: the responsible planning and executing of all actions on (digital) data before, during and after a research project, with the aim of optimising the usability, reusability and reproducibility of the resulting data ¹.

Data stewardship and data management skills are essential in research. The lack of consensus on the responsibilities, knowledge, skills, and value of data stewards leads to confusion about the role and hampers building adequate data steward capacity. To our knowledge, a coherent approach and dedicated training for data stewardship on the (inter)national level is lacking as well. This, combined with scarce resources for data stewardship at many institutions, limits vital developments such as defining recruitment procedures and career paths for current and future data stewards.

At the 14th RDA Plenary, a Birds of a Feather session was organised on professionalising data stewardship, with over 60 participants. Eight challenges were identified and discussed: a business case for data stewardship, data stewardship terminology, the integration of data stewardship across an organisation, job profiles for data stewards, training for data stewards, career tracks for data stewards, networking and knowledge exchange, and certification. This was followed by another Birds of a Feather session at the 15th RDA Virtual Plenary, which was attended by more than 100 participants, who reiterated their interest in these topics.

Against this background, this Interest Group will focus on sharing good practices, initiatives, and projects that could help research organisations in professionalising data stewardship. It thus aims to stimulate collaboration within and outside the RDA community.

We expect that the activities of this Interest Group will help current and future data stewards as well as the organisations that incorporate, facilitate and require data stewardship, both within and across disciplines. This aligns with the grass-roots, inclusive approach of the RDA, and with its

¹ Salome Scholtens, Mijke Jetten, Jasmin Böhmer, Christine Staiger, Inge Slouwerhof, Marije van der Geest, & Celia W.G. van Gelder. (2019, October 3). Final report: Towards FAIR data steward as profession for the lifesciences. Report of a ZonMw funded collaborative approach built on existing expertise. Zenodo. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3474789

mission to build bridges, exchange views, collaborate on relevant topics, and tackle current hurdles experienced by the international research data community.

3. User scenarios or use cases the IG wishes to address

What triggered the desire for this Interest Group in the first place.

We identify four direct triggers for proposing the establishment of a Professionalising Data Stewardship Interest Group.

First, in recent years, many discussions have taken place concerning data stewardship, which is considered a hot topic in Research Data Management and Data Science. RDA, which is at the forefront of innovation in research data management, should take a leading role in these discussions and in shaping the future landscape around data stewardship.

Second, these discussions, and the high attendance rate of the 14th RDA and the 15th RDA Plenary Birds of a Feather sessions, demonstrated the need for professionalising data stewardship. As a community, we need to ask ourselves what is needed to professionalise data stewardship. Additionally, we must ask how we can contribute to initiatives and projects aimed at profiling, organising, developing, and educating current and new data stewards.

Third, there currently is no network that builds on the various useful initiatives and projects on professionalising data stewardship, such as the FAIRsFAIR project, and the GO-FAIR Data Stewardship Competence Centers network. A forum, which could bring together the relevant stakeholders, is needed and we believe that the RDA may actively take such a role, by establishing a Professionalising Data Stewardship Interest Group. This Interest Group could liaise with communities, initiatives and projects outside the RDA, thus fostering synergies, facilitating practice exchange, bringing new groups and members to RDA, and conversely bringing the Interest Group activities to the attention of external parties (e.g. policy makers, national bodies, funders, publishers).

Fourth, the very practical challenges identified at the 14th RDA Plenary and the 15th RDA Plenary Birds of a Feather sessions on professionalising data stewardship are a call for action. As an Interest Group, we could be a platform to lead to the formation of more specific task groups, stimulating cooperation between members within and outside the RDA to tackle challenges and set joint, collaborative steps.

4. Objectives

A specific set of focus areas for discussion, including use cases that pointed to the need for the Interest Group in the first place. Articulate how this group is different from other current activities inside or outside of RDA.

The Interest Group will focus on exchanging good practices, initiatives and projects that could help research organisations in professionalising data stewardship. This Interest Group will liaise with communities, initiatives and projects outside RDA, thus fostering synergies. To our

knowledge, there currently is no network that connects and bridges between the various initiatives and projects on professionalising data stewardship.

Specific objectives of this Interest Group are:

- Provide a forum for knowledge and experience exchange in national and international efforts to professionalise data stewardship.
- Define challenges which need to be addressed to professionalise data stewardship.
- Design a strategy to tackle the identified challenges.
- Convene task groups, as appropriate, to pursue specific outcomes and deliverables (see section 4).
- Contribute to global RDA community growth through new members joining this group.
- Stimulate collaboration, creation of new connections and cooperation between group members and beyond.
- Organise webinars to promote the work of the Interest Group.

This proposed Interest Group is distinct, but will work in conjunction with many other Interest Groups, initiatives and projects to professionalise data stewardship across all disciplines. A few examples of complementary RDA groups with which we would like to collaborate are:

- Education and Training on Handling of Research Data Interest Group: experts from this Interest Group could provide vital advice on training development and this connection could also facilitate knowledge exchange with regards to existing training resources.
- CODATA/RDA Research Data Science Schools for Low and Middle Income Countries: collaboration could provide useful perspectives of how data stewardship training could be applied also to LMIC countries.
- Disciplinary Collaboration Framework Interest Group: collaboration could help determine which aspects of data stewardship are discipline-specific and how to best address disciplinary challenges.
- Software Source Code Interest Group: experts from this Interest Group could help understand what the essential software skills are that data stewards should have and how these should be incorporated into data stewardship training curricula.
- Libraries for Research Data IG: the libraries are often the drivers for institutional implementation of data stewardship
- Engaging Researchers with Data IG: it is essential that the groups takes into account the researchers' perspective into professionalising data stewardship

Update October 2020: we have contacted all relevant IG and WG, to find common topics and actively collaborate. We received a lot of enthusiastic replies from their co-chairs so there is a lot of potential for future collaboration. We are currently planning to organise an open kickoff meeting to present ourselves to other IG and WG and make joint plans/set joint steps in the near future.

Below we list the specific challenges identified at the 14th and the 15th RDA Plenary Birds of a Feather sessions on professionalising data stewardship, which are explained in more detail in a separate document².

- A business case for data stewardship: case studies and a framework on how to convince both the institutional management, as well as the research community about the need for professional data stewardship.
- **Data stewardship terminology**: community-agreed terminology and skills for data stewardship, in collaboration and based on existing work, frameworks and taxonomies (e.g. Terms4FAIRSkills, CReDiT).
- Models. How to best integrate data stewardship within an organisation? How should data stewardship be organised within institutions? The group will produce a framework and case studies to help decide what works best and when.
- **Job profiles for data stewards**: there exists a great variety of jobs that includes some role in data stewardship, with varying tasks, with different skills required, and different degrees of expertise. The group will produce job profiles and case studies to explain the different types of data stewards roles.
- Training for data stewards: there is currently no agreed, coherent and sufficient approach to training for data stewards. The group plans to work with multiple stakeholders internationally (such as FOSTER, RDNL, CODATA, GO FAIR) to evaluate existing training, look for any potential gaps and devise strategies how these could be addressed through collaboration.
- Career tracks for data stewards: data stewardship is not yet recognised as a career. There are not many examples of what a career path would look like, as there is insufficient experience. The group will gather case studies and produce a report on career path examples, specialisation profiles, job profiles and payment schemes, with recommendations for employers.
- Networking and knowledge exchange: there is a need for data stewards to be part of a community in order to share knowledge and practice. But how to organise such communities? The group will collect case studies as well as a document with top principles to create a common ground for community formation/practice exchange.
- Certification: the community also wished to explore the need of certification of training programmes for data stewards and of data stewards themselves (including a possibility of online certification).

5. Participation

Address which communities will be involved, what skills or knowledge should they have, and how will you engage these communities. Also address how this group proposes to coordinate its activity with relevant related groups.

² Community-contributed notes with more information and ideas on the specific deliverables proposed by the group, explaining the rationale for each output (the challenges), as well as the format of each output: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1-SFpAM3HfqNv2L1MiegwCBDocFKqV8D3eQUMXgWX73E/edit?usp=sharing

This Interest Group brings together those interested in professionalising data stewardship, and, more specifically, in actively engaging with each other to contribute to current discussions about, initiatives and projects on tackling challenges in professionalising data stewardship.

This may be current or future data stewards, but we also actively reach out to people in the broader data professional community, including data librarians, data managers, data scientists, data archivists, IT staff, research support staff, policy makers, funders, and managers and executives. This explicitly includes students and researchers, potentially being our future data stewards.

At the 14th RDA Plenary Birds of a Feather session, over 60 international participants expressed interest in joining the Interest Group, including actively engaging in its activities (see the list in Potential Group Members). The participants represented various stakeholder groups (Fig. 1), which demonstrates that the topic of professionalising data stewardship is highly relevant for a broad range of audiences.




Fig. 1. Stakeholder groups represented at the 14th RDA Plenary Birds of a Feather session (Mentimeter survey results).

Participants also had different involvement in data stewardship, which provided an additional confirmation of interest in data stewardship across various stakeholder groups (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2. Involvement in data stewardship among the participants of the 14th RDA Plenary Birds of a Feather session (Mentimeter survey results).

Additionally, over 25 participants of the 15th IDCC unconference session on data stewardship training and certification have shown interest in joining the Interest Group (their names are added to the list in Potential group members).

The global interest in the topic was reiterated at the 15th RDA Virtual Plenary Birds of a Feather session of the group, which was attended by over 100 participants. Crucially, more than half of the attendees who participated in the Mentimeter online survey indicated that they would like to actively contribute to the activities of the group (Fig. 3).



Fig.3. Interest in active contribution to the activities of the group expressed by the attendees of the 15th RDA Virtual Plenary Birds of a Feather session (Mentimeter survey results).

In addition to coordinating with some of the existing RDA groups (as indicated in section 4), we will keep connections with existing initiatives and projects on professionalising data stewardship to reinforce each other, instead of repeating work. Over the last year, the potential co-chairs of the Interest Group have actively engaged with related initiatives, such as FAIRsFAIR, Terms4FAIRSkills, the EOSC pilot (FAIR4S), the Edison network, and the Dutch professionalising data stewardship project by ZonMw/NPOS (which is chaired by one of the potential co-chairs).

6. Outcomes

Discuss what the Interest Group intends to accomplish. Include examples of Working Group topics or supporting Interest Group-level outputs that might lead to Working Groups later on.

For the very practical challenges identified at the 14th and the 15th RDA Plenary Birds of a Feather sessions on professionalising data stewardship, we jointly set the possible outcomes of the Interest Group for the eight challenges identified and discussed in section 4. For this, we will distribute a survey to decide and prioritise topics, define concrete deliverables for each topic, and divide tasks, which will result in specific task groups and working areas for the Interest Group.

7. Mechanism

Describe how often your group will meet and how will you maintain momentum between Plenaries.

The group will meet in person at RDA Plenaries to exchange new ideas and tactics for facilitating professionalising data stewardship, and also to update each other on the progress of task groups working on specific deliverables. Plenary meetings will also be used as an opportunity to recruit and engage with new members.

Between Plenaries, the group will communicate through the formal RDA Interest Group page (posts and events) and Slack, as this proved to be very effective in another project one of the potential co-chairs is involved with ('Engaging Researchers with Research Data: The Cookbook', which led to an Interest Group in formation, namely the Engaging Researchers with Data Interest Group).

Separate task groups will be formed to work on specific deliverables and people will work on these between Plenary sessions. These task groups will be coordinated by the potential co-chairs of the Interest Group.

In addition, the group will organise regular webinars to stimulate discussion and practice exchange.

8. Timeline

Describe draft milestones and goals for the first 12 months.

The first Birds of a Feather session of the Group was at the 14th RDA Plenary. Following the ambition of the participants to establish a formal RDA Interest Group, after the session, a draft charter has been written, and shared for feedback with the 75+ prospective members. The charter was further discussed during dedicated Birds of a Feather session at the 15th RDA Virtual Plenary. Subsequently, the draft charter will be submitted to the RDA for official consideration.

We propose the following activities, goals and milestones for the first 12 months after formalisation:

- Create the official RDA Interest Group:
 - Launch the official group page on the RDA website, and provide a link to the charter, as it contains detailed information about the challenges we aim to tackle, including expected RDA outcomes (a draft group page has been already created in preparation for the 15th Virtual Plenary).
 - Transfer the existing list of members in the charter to the official RDA mailing list.
 - Ask for co-chair nominees, including formal voting.

 Update october 2020: as we find it important to have co-chairs from various regions, including Africa, Asia and the global south, we have actively tried to engage members and potential co-chairs from those regions. Elections have been held, and as a result, this includes new co-chairs from various regions. See https://www.rd-alliance.org/groups/professionalising-data-stewardship-ig for the full list of co-chairs.

- Distribute a survey to decide and prioritise topics, define concrete deliverables for each topic, and divide tasks, which will result in specific task groups and working areas for the Interest Group.
- Formalise task groups and start working on prospective new outcomes (see section 4).
 - Convene a session at the following Plenary.
 - Report on the progress of individual task groups.
 - Presentation and discussion about new developments in professionalising data.
 - Encouragement of new members to join the task groups.
- Organise webinars to promote the work of the Interest Group.
- Communicate and align with various stakeholder groups, initiatives and projects within and outside the RDA, thus fostering synergies on professionalising data stewardship.

9. Potential group members

Include proposed chairs/initial leadership and all members who have expressed interest.

The list below consists of people who were already members of the informal group, based on the 14th and 15th RDA Plenary Birds of a Feather sessions on professionalising data stewardship, the 15th IDCC unconference session on data stewardship training and certification, as well as other discussions and meetings about data stewardship professionalisation.

This list will be further extended at the 16th and 17th RDA Plenary, with a particular aim of increasing the participation of colleagues from the US, Asia and Africa.

Name	E-mail	Organisation	Role
Aleksandra Michalewicz	aleksm@unimelb.edu.au	University of Melbourne	Group member
Ali Hayes-Brady	ali.hayes-brady@monash.edu	Monash University	Group member
Angus Whyte	a.whyte@ed.ac.uk	DCC	Group member
Ana Inkret	ana.inkret@fdv.uni-lj.si	FDV	Group member
Anne S. Bergsaker	a.s.bergsaker@usit.uio.no	University of Oslo	Group member
Anne Sofie Fink Kjeldgaard	asf@sa.dk	DNA, DK	Group member
Annika Rockenberger	annika.rockenberger@ub.uio.no	University of Oslo Library	Group member
Antti Rousi	antti.m.rousi@aalto.fi	Aalto University	Group member
Birgit Schmidt	bschmidt@sub.uni-goettingen.de	University of Göttingen	Group member
Birte Lindstädt	lindstaedt@zbmed.de	ZB MED Information Centre Life Sciences	Group member
Christoph Bruch	christoph.bruch@os.helmholtz.de	Helmholtz Association	Group member
Claudia Engelhardt	claudia.engelhardt@sub.uni-goetti ngen.de	Goettingen State & University Library	Group member
Connie Clare	connieclare@hotmail.com	University of Nottingham	Group member

Danielle Cooper	danielle.cooper@ithaka.org	Ithaka	Group member
Daniel Bangert	bangert@sub.uni-goettingen.de	University Goettingen/RDA EU	Group member
Daniela Hausen	hausen@ub.rwth-aachen.de	RWTH Aachen University	Group member
Diba Terese Markus	dtm@aub.aau.dk	Aalborg University	Group member
Dylan Neild	dylan@swimdrinkfish.ca	Swim Drink Fish Canada	Group member
Ellen Verbakel	p.m.verbakel@tudelft.nl	TU Delft	Group member
Elisabeth Strandhagen	elisabeth.strandhagen@gu.se	SNO Sweden	Group member
Emily Beagle	e.beagle@austin.utexas.edu	University of Texas Austin	Group member
Erik Schultes	erik.schultes@go-fair.org	GO FAIR	Group member
Esther Plomp	e.plomp@tudelft.nl	TU Delft	Group member
Fieke Schoots	f.schoots@library.leidenuniv.nl	Leiden University	Group member
Fiona Morley	Fiona.Morley@mu.ie	Maynooth University	Group member
Gene Melzack	gene.melzack@unimelb.edu.au	University of Melbourne	Group member
Graham Parton	graham.parton@stfc.ac.uk	CEDA	Group member
Hanna Lindroos	hanna.lindroos@slu.se	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences	Group member
Herman Stehouwer	j.h.stehouwer@gmail.com	Viqtor Davis	Group member
Hugh Shanahan	Hugh.Shanahan@rhul.ac.uk	University of London	Group member
Irena Vipavc Brvar	irena.vipavc@fdv.uni-lj.si	FDV	Group member
Iza Witkowska	I.M.Witkowska.d.Koeijer@tue.nl	TU Eindhoven	Group member
Jaana Pinnick	jpak@bgs.ac.uk	National Geoscience Data Centre	Group member
Jari Friman	jari.friman@tuni.fi	Tampere University	Group member
Jessica Hrudey	e.j.hrudey@vu.nl	VU Amsterdam	Group member
Joanne Yeomans	c.j.yeomans@library.leidenuniv.nl	Leiden University, NL	Group member
Jodi Reeves Eyre	jreeveseyre@clir.org	Council on Library and Information Resources	Group member
Judith Carr	j.c.carr@liverpool.ac.uk	Liverpool University	Group member
Jukka Rantasaari	jukka.rantasaari@utu.fi	University of Turku	Group member
Joy Davidson	joy.davidson@glasgow.ac.uk	DCC	Group member
Karen Thompson	karen.thompson@unimelb.edu.au	University of Melbourne	Group member
Katarzyna Biernacka	biernack@hu-berlin.de	Humboldt-Universität	Group member
Karsten Kryge Hansen	kkh@aub.aau.dk	Aalborg University	Group member

Kathryn Cassidy	kcassidy@tchpc.tcd.ie	Digital Repository of Ireland	Group member
Katrien de Smet	katrien.desmet@ap.be	AP University of Applied Sciences Antwerp	Group member
Kerstin Belin	kerstin.belin@slu.se	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences	Group member
Kerstin Helbig	kerstin.helbig@hu-berlin.de	HU Berlin	Group member
Kevin Ashley	kevin.ashley@edu.ac.uk	DCC	Group member
Kristal Spreadborough	kristal.spreadborough@unimelb.ed u.au	University of Melbourne	Group member
Laurence Horton	laurence.horton@mail.utoronto.ca	University of Toronto	Group member
Lee Wilson	lee.wilson@ace.net.ca	Portage	Group member
Live Kvale	l.h.kvale@ub.uio.no	Oslo University	Group member
Ljiljana Poljak	ljpoljak@svkst.hr	Split University Library	Group member
Lorna Wildgaard	lowi@kb.dk	Danish Royal Library/ Copenhagen University Library	Group member
Mareike Buss	mabu.lib@cbs.dk	Copenhagen Business School	Group member
Margaret Fotland	m.l.fotland@admin.uio.no	University of Oslo	Group member
Mari Elisa Kuusniemi	mari.elisa.kuusniemi@helsinki.fi	University of Helsinki	Group member
Marleen Grasse	marleen.grasse@uni-due.de	University of Duisburg - Essen	Group member
Marta Teperek	m.teperek@tudelft.nl	TU Delft	Potential co-chair
Mijke Jetten	mijke.jetten@dtls.nl	Dutch Techcentre for Life Sciences	Potential co-chair
Miriam Braskova	braskova@rsm.nl	Erasmus University Rotterdam	Group member
Monica Allardt	monica.allardt@helsinki.fi	University of Helsinki	Group member
Peter Neish	peter.neish@unimelb.edu.au	University of Melbourne	Potential co-chair
Priyanka Pillai	priyanka.pillai@unimelb.edu.au	University of Melbourne	Group member
Richard Ostler	richard.ostler@rothamsted.ac.uk	Rothamsted Research	Group member
Ruth Geraghty	ruth_geraghty@hotmail.com	CES	Group member
Rosie Higman	r.higman@sheffield.ac.uk	Sheffield University	Group member
S. Venkataraman	s.venkataraman@ed.ac.uk	DCC	Group member
Sarah Stryeck	sarah.stryeck@tugraz.at	TU Graz	Group member
Seliina Päällysaho	seliina.paallysaho@seamk.fi	Seinäjoki University of Applied Sciences - SeAMK	Group member

Shannon Sheridan	ssherid3@uwyo.edu	University of Wyoming	Group member
Sibylle Hermann	sibylle.hermann@ub.uni-stuttgart. de	University of Stuttgart	Group member
Smitt Nathan	smith.nathan@gmail.com	Johns Hopkins University	Group member
Suzanne Redmond Maloco	S.RedmondMaloco@mu.ie	Maynooth University	Group member
Stefan Ekman	stefan.ekman@snd.gu.se	University of Gothenburg	Group member
Theres Rudolph	theres.rudolph@stud.htwk-leipzig. de	HTWK Leipzig	Group member
Tom Blom	tom.blom@helsinki.fi	University of Helsinki	Group member
Tuija Korhonen	tuija.korhonen@helsinki.fi	University of Helsinki	Group member
Turkka Nappila	turkka.nappila@tuni.fi	Tampere University	Group member
Valentina Pasquale	valentina.pasquale@iit.it	Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia	Group member
Victor Eggenhuizen	v.eggenhuizen@nioo.knaw.nl	NIOO-KNAW	Group member
Wolmar Nyberg Åkerström	wolmar@ub.uu.se	Uppsala University Library	Group member
Xavier Engels	xavier.engels@anr.fr	ANR/GO FAIR	Group member
Yan Wang	y.wang-16@tudelft.nl	TU Delft	Group member
Ylva Toljander	ylva.toljander@slu.se	Swedish National Data Services/Swedish University of Agriculture Sciences	Group member
Yuri Demchenko	y.demchenko@uva.nl	UvA	Group member

Update October 2020: the list of group members has grown steadily over the past few months. We currently have over 130 members from different geographical regions. Additionally, our slack community already has more than 110 members, and a lot of these members are actively engaging in one of the 8 different challenges/task groups.