

## What is the impact?

The comparison guide and checklist can be used by countries at any stage on the journey to developing a national PID strategy. This is supported by the nine case studies collected from Australia, Canada, Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, the Netherlands, New Zealand, South Korea, and the United Kingdom. A template has been provided so that other countries can submit their case studies to the National PID Strategies Interest Group.

The Guide, Case Studies and Checklist can be used to:

- Inform the development of your national PID strategy
- Develop a roadmap to accompany your strategy
- Align with international initiatives in this important area
- Facilitate stakeholder engagement with national PID strategies
- Connect, communicate and collaborate with others developing national PID strategies



Find out more about the  
National PID Strategies WG  
Recommendation

September 2023



## RDA National PID Strategies Guide and checklist

### The Challenge:

Ensuring that national Persistent Identifier (PID) strategies and approaches are not developed in isolation and that commonalities are explored, approaches aligned, and the value propositions and development pathways are shared and refined.

### What is the solution?

Based on case studies collected from nine different countries, a comparison guide and checklist have been developed. The guide offers a comparison of the nine case studies, including scope, drivers, strategy development, key features, key infrastructure and priority PIDs. The checklist can be used as a starting point for developing your national PID strategy. The checklist is designed to be used flexibly to help you think about what is needed.



Produced by: [National PID Strategies WG](#)