

## RDA Data Discovery Paradigms Interest Group

Use Case E: Use cases, prototyping tools and test collections

5th Teleconference, March 7th, 2017

### Attendees:

- Mingfang Wu, ANDS (MFW)
- Fotis Psomopoulos, University of Thessaloniki, Greece (FP)
- Anita de Waard, Elsevier (AdW)
- Siri Jodha Khalsa, NSIDC/Czech republic (SJK)
- Jennie Larkin, NIH (JL)

### Useful Documents

- [Google Spreadsheet Link](#)
- (~~obsolete~~, please use the spreadsheet) [Link to Use-Case Document](#)
- [Link to Minutes Document](#)

### Notes

#### **Data aggregator/data repository/metadata catalogue:**

is responsible for running a data discovery service for human & machine, such as DataCite, DataOne, RDA (Research Data Australia), and data.gov etc. Generally, a data aggregator isn't responsible for describing data (i.e. creating metadata), but may communicate with data providers what attributes a metadata should have to make it more discoverable.

#### **Data provider:**

is responsible for describing and curating data, ensures access to authentic, up-to-date, credential, fit-for-use, citable data; data providers also feed metadata to data catalogue.

#### **Data collector:**

is responsible for collecting data, who may or may not be responsible for describing data.

#### **Data funder:**

who fund research projects, mandate and/or recommend researchers to make their data resulting from their funded grants as openly available as possible.

#### **Data user/consumer:**

is seeking for data and uses data in his/her research; may cite data in his/her publication or report.

General Discussion on the [Use Cases Google Sheet](#). Need to continue adding new use-cases, especially from other perspectives.

**SJK:** Keep the format used in <https://www.w3.org/TR/sdw-ucr> for presenting the use-cases for a wider audience, i.e. having collapsable requirements etc. e.g. 5.8 Crawlability, 5.13 Discoverability are two related requirements.

**AdW:** At the end of an IG there are very specific and short formats. Publish on the IG RDA page. Should we aim for a hybrid form.

**MFW:** Present each category as a top layer. Under each category we can have the related use-cases.

**FP:** We may have duplicates of each use-case, but as presenting the use-cases is not our primary goal, then it makes sense to highlight and put more focus on the categories/sub-categories structure.

**MFW:** See "[Best Practice for Data Repository](#)"

**AdW:** Best Practices is a different output, but we shouldn't connect them at this stage at least.

**JL:** I do agree with that - it will create more confusion that provide information.

**SJK:** We should analyse the use-cases first.

**JL:** There are 63 use-cases, but it has it covered all the aspects? But how can we go from this information-rich array to specific guides?

**AdW:** The target audience could be more repository managers. So essentially we want to show how data discovery is done.

**AdW:** Why are there all these different users? What is the difference between the user types? Maybe we should consolidate on major types: Funders, Researchers, Librarians?

**AdW:** Then we should generalize more. As these are interviews, we should abstract them and create the use-cases.

**SJK:** We should not throw out any of the data.

**MFW:** We agree we do not link to best practices, but we derive the requirements from the "interviews"/use-cases.

**JL:** We keep the current labels (Students, Researcher, Librarian, Funder), we accept that we have a bias towards Researchers, and then focus on underrepresented groups.

**JL:** Follow-up on the "interviews" in order to understand the overlap between the different roles.

**JL:** What are the outreach/products of the Task Force that we could aim for?

### **Follow-up Actions**

**Action #1:** Create a first version of requirements

**Action #2:** Get extra use-cases from W3 to our “Interviews”

### **Next teleconference Tuesday, March 21st 2017**

#### **When**

- London (United Kingdom) Tuesday, 21 March 2017 at 12:00 noon GMTUTC
- Athens (Greece) Tuesday, 21 March 2017 at 2:00 pm EETUTC +2 hours
- New York (USA - New York) Tuesday, 21 March 2017 at 7:00 am ESTUTC -5 hours
- Perth (Australia - Western Australia) Tuesday, 21 March 2017 at 8:00 pm AWSTUTC +8 hours
- Melbourne (Australia - Victoria) Tuesday, 21 March 2017 at 11:00 pm AEDTUTC +11 hours

#### **How**

Connection details

#### **Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone or Android device:**

Please click this URL to start or join. <https://monash.zoom.us/j/188555533>

Or, go to <https://monash.zoom.us/join> and enter meeting ID: 188 555 533

#### **Join from a dial-in phone:**

Dial: +61 3 99059666 (+61 3 9905 ZOOM) or +61 2 8015 2088

Toll-free dial: +61 18 0079 2488 (US/Canada only)

Meeting ID: 188 555 533

International numbers available:

<https://monash.zoom.us/zoomconference?m=9dVjtn7DyokdKA-t6UCZ3Ga1f9N8F8s8>

#### **Join from a Video capable room system(H.323/SIP):**

Dial:

61262227588 (From within Monash only)

or:

7588@182.255.112.21 (H323) or

7588@aarnet.edu.au (SIP)

or

162.255.36.11 or 162.255.37.11

Meeting ID: 188 555 533