1. **Brief recap of purpose of WG/IG and planned outcomes/aims?**

Address the need for best practices regarding sUAS data management. Specifically, to answer:

* What standard sensor calibration and use procedures need to be defined and articulated?
* What best practices regarding data post processing and error analysis methodology need to be outlined?
* What is the minimum metadata that needs to be collected about a scientific sUAS data capture flight?
* Which formats should be used to store (meta)data in?
* Which ontologies should be applied -- or need to be developed -- for sUAS (meta)data?
1. **What has been accomplished to date?**
	1. Growing community with international contacts working in the same space (UK, Cypress, Germany, Austrailia, SA, USA)
	2. Growing connection with other IGs (Geo, Ag, O2I, Ethical data use)
	3. State-of paper in progress
	4. Community engagement proposal 2pager (NSF target call canceled)
	5. MIF dev through ESIP community specifically
2. **What issues, challenges, problems, have been encountered?**
	1. Science user community lacks time and funding to contribute significantly
	2. Momentum is hard to build given (a)
	3. The need for funded time to do the work and a lack of appropriate funding calls
3. **Would a roadmap would have helped? (vetoed)**
	1. Not necessarily but greater guidance from TAB would help
4. **Are these issues sufficient to require modification of the outcome, schedules, scope?**
	1. No defined timeline - this is about cajoling humans into working together for free so….
	2. But, issue/topic is time sensitive and urgent
5. **What is the plan for completion/progress for the coming 6-12 months**
	1. Paper to list in next few weeks
	2. Telecon series leading up to P11 with collaborator groups
	3. Ideal: propose a WG at P11 but depends on momentum building and possible funding opportunities (NSF RCN, Belmont forum e-infrastructures??)
	4. Many plans in the works for IDW to gather S.Hemisphere sUAS users: flying week, data hackathon, possible training event, SciDataCon session. Hopefully a secondary outcome will be greater engagement with RDA generally and the sUAS data IG specifically and thereby adoption of outcomes
6. **Is your work related to/coordinated with other WG/IGs?**
	1. Yes but…. - there is certainly more we could learn from other groups but given very finite volunteer time available it’s hard enough to get our own community to work on our problems without getting others to also work on them. Eg: Metadata group I would imagine would have something to contribute to us developing a metadata best practices doc
7. How does your work fit into the RDA mission?
	1. It’s goal is to promote FAIR sUAS data management. There is a unique opportunity here to embedded a culture of FAIR into a new domain that is as of yet not significantly burdened by legacy data, but that point in time is slowly disappearing.